Cultural Evolution: Pleistocene Origins, Holocene Explosion

Peter Richerson

www.des.ucdavis.edu/faculty/richerson/richerson.ht

<u>m</u>

Moscow

August 23, 2016



Plan

- How cultural evolution works (Boyd and Richerson 1985, Richerson and Boyd 2005)
- Why possibly our complex cumulative culture evolved in the Pleistocene
- Evolution of social and technological complexity in the Holocene

How cultural evolution works

- Culture is a form of inheritance
 - Very different in details from genes
 - Inheritance of acquired variation
 - More than two "parents"
- Evolves in a Darwinian fashion by descent with modification





Language evolution looks a lot like the evolution of species, except for considerable borrowing between branches.



Comparative Experiments: Social learning in chimps and children

Kanzi and young friend

Artificial fruits with two kinds of latches and various methods of opening (Whiten and Custance 1996)





Whiten and Custance: Results

Adult, human raised chimpanzees and children of different ages



Forces of Cultural Evolution

- Random variation
 - Idiosyncrasies of organization founders
- Guided variation
 - Learning from personal perspiration and inspiration Albert Bandura 1977
- Biased transmission
 - Deciding to adopt new technology
 - A number of strategies
- Natural selection
 - Poorly run companies go bankrupt
 - Long surviving organizations school employees in successful practices

Boyd & Richerson 1985 v Cavalli-Sforza & Feldman 1981

Emmy Werner 1979









Macroevolutionary Hypotheses

- Internalist: Long time scale events and trends (speciation, patterns of species diversity) governed by the processes of organic (and cultural) evolution. For example, the increasing complexity of organisms since the origin of life.
 - Everyone is an internalist at short enough time scales
- Externalist: The long time scale records the adaptation of organisms to changes in the earth's environment. For example, life remained simple for most of the earth's history because oxygen levels in the ocean and atmosphere we too low to support multicellular organisms
 - Everyone is an externalist at long enough time scales

Functional Analysis

- What is culture good for?
- More rapid adaptive evolution: culture is built for speed, not for comfort, to cope with temporal and spatial variation
 - Technology
 - Social organization
 - Tradeoff: tolerance of maladaptations in the pursuit of speed
 - Many bias strategies are rough rules of thumb that can be exploited by selfish memes
 - Exploitation: "If you pay for it, you're the customer, if it is free you're the product!"

Culture does evolve more swiftly that genes:

Generation and selection of variation by the decision-making forces swifter than mixing, creating cultural adaptive radiations



Charles Perreault 2012 PLoS1

A little theory: What is *costly* culture good for?



Why did cumulative culture evolve so recently? An externalist hypothesis

- Humans using complex cultural adaptations
 - Became very widespread in the Pleistocene
 - Became the Earth's dominant organism in the Holocene
- Simple culture widespread in other social animals
- Why didn't the human capacity for complex cumulative culture evolve long ago?
 - Amount of culture correlated with brain size (Reader and Laland 2002)
 - Big brains very expensive (Aiello and Wheeler 1995)

Climate variation in space and time favors large brains



Zachos et al 2001 Science

Bigger brains did evolve as climate got more variable



Pleistocene Climate Deterioration 1980s story



Millennial and sub-millennial scale variation from Greenland GRIP core



Now we're talking about the kind of variation to which culture would be an adaptation!

Human evolution and ongoing climate change (Martrat et al. 2007 *Science*)



Central Eurasia!

Figure 7.20. Approximate locations of the European Upper Paleolithic sites that have provided female figurines or engravings (redrawn after 445, fig. 3.19). At most sites the figurines or engravings were certainly or probably associated with the "Gravettian" culture complex, dated to between roughly 28 and 21 ky ago.

Why did humans become more sophisticated and more successful only in the late Pleistocene?

Let's start with the evidence from the stones and bones



Stone Tool Traditions

From Richard Klein, 1999

Oldowan 2.5-1.7 Myr BP

Mousterian ~ Mode 3

0.250-0.044 Myr BP



Aurignacian ~ Mode 4

0.040-0.028 Myr BP

Olduvai Gorge Upper Bed II



Ongoing increases in millennial and submillenial scale variation



Martrat et al. Science 2007



Martrat et al. 2004



Antarctic ice core

Increasing millennial scale variation over last 8 glacial cycles

Lourlergue et al. 2008 Nature 453: 383

Humans a fugitive species for most of our history?



Big costly brains for fancy culture almost didn't pan out!

Modern humans expand rapidly after 50 kya



Atkinson, Gray & Drummond 2008

Ongoing increases in millennial and submillenial scale variation



Martrat et al. Science 2007



Martrat et al. 2004

Human brain size increases with increasing climate variation



Thousands of years ago

The Holocene increase in human population and cultural sophistication

An internalist hypothesis

Abrupt Pleistocene-Holocene transition creates natural experiment



Agriculture's (r)evolutionary demographic impact

- Today supports ca 6 billion people
- On the demographic time scale, this could have been achieved in less that a millennium
- What has regulated the tempo of cultural evolution in the Holocene?



FIGURE 5.2 Estimated human population from the last ice age to the present. Source of data: Appendix 2

Joel Cohen 1195

A Competitive Ratchet

- Competition between societies favors
- More populous societies
- More technologically advanced societies
- Better organized societies
- Individual societies may slip backwards (the collapse of the Western Roman Empire) but advanced techniques and ideas have seldom been totally lost

Possible rate limiting processes

- Geography
 - Jared Diamond's explanation for the Eurasian advantage
- Small role for climate change
 - Perhaps large impact of anthropogenic climate change in the future
- Coevolutionary processes play a big role
 - Agriculture requires pre-adapted plants and animals
 - SW Asia particularly rich
 - California particularly poor

Rate limiting processes, continued

- Humans have to adapt genetically to agricultural diets
 - Amylase copy number
 - Adult lactase persistence
 - Pale skin in low UV environments
- Diseases limit population expansions hence competition
 - Malaria other diseases inhibit conquest, trade

Rate limiting processes, continued

- Cultural evolutionary processes often slow
 - Technology a complex design problem
 - Evolving balanced diets based on plants
 - Dinner forks and paper clips (Henry Petroski 1992)
 - Social institutions evolve especially slowly
 - Marx famously argued that that factory production technology in the 19th Century was managed by archaic social systems
 - Cultural group selection has millennial time scale (Soltis et al. 1995)
 - Social institutions diffuse with difficulty
 - Not observable or "trialible"

Conclusion

- A Darwinian framework for studying cultural evolution
- Leads to mathematical models that can be coupled to models of organic evolution
- Can model microevolutionary events like the evolution of adult lactase persistence
- Leads to hypotheses about macroevolutionary phenomena

END Thanks for your attention!